

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/705,411

Applicant(s)

NOWAK, MICHAEL

Examiner

James M Hewitt

Art Unit

3679

## All Participants:

(1) James M Hewitt.

(2) Mathew Perrone, Jr..

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 6 October 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 103(a)*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 7*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Bulka (US 5,343,647), Solow (US 4,819,355), Pleotis (US 6,262,807)*

## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 1 and 7, as proposed by the Examiner and as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment, in order to distinguish the claims over the prior art.*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)